

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/039,073	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAPELLER-LIBERMANN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1643	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.

(2) Mario Cloutier.

**Date of Interview:** 13 October 2005

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
 Claims discussed:  
 23-38  
  
 Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 4: 45pm & 2pm, respectively

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The participants discussed the claims were free of the art and consequently allowed, however the specification contained a number of informalities that needed to be corrected before allowance. Mr. Cloutier, Applicants' representative agreed with the Examiner's suggestions and granted her permission to make the necessary changes. .